

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,770	04/09/2004	Ichiro Koiwa	OKI.651	8824
20987	7590 07/26/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC			HOANG, QUOC DINH	
ONE FREEDO	OM SQUARE OM DRIVE SUITE 1260		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			C			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/820,770	KOIWA, ICHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quoc D. Hoang	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17						
<b>7.</b>						
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>			monto io			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corust that any objected to by the all the corust that any objected to by the second sec	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	•			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/2005.	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date r Informal Patent Application (PTC 	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-3, 7 and 8) in the reply filed on 06/17/2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. This office action acknowledges receipt of the following items from the Applicant:
  - The Claims filed on 04/09/2004.
  - The Specification filed on 04/09/2004.
  - The Drawing filed on 04/09/2004.
  - The Abstract filed on 04/09/2004.
  - The Oath/declaration filed on 04/09/2004.

## Oath/Declaration

3. The Oath/declaration filed on 04/09/2004 is acceptable.

## **Priority**

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority base on an application No. 106601/2003 filed in Japan on 04/10/2003.

It is noted that Applicants have filled a certified copy of said application as required by U.S.C 119, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 06/17/2004. The references cited on the PTOL 1449 Form have been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

# Specification

6. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the present of all possible minor errors. However, Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al., (US Pat No. 6,033,953) (hereinafter "Aoki").

Regarding claim 1, Aoki teaches a ferroelectric capacitor comprising:

a bottom electrode 38 (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a plurality of projection electrodes 38a formed on the bottom electrode 38 (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14). It is noted that the convex parts 38a are considered the projection electrodes;

a ferroelectric layer 40 formed on the bottom electrode 38 and the projection electrodes 38a (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14); and

a top electrode 37 formed on the ferroelectric layer 40 (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 8, Aoki teaches wherein the bottom electrode 38 and the projection electrodes 38a are made of a same material (platinum) (col. 1, lines 50-55 and Fig. 14).

Art Unit: 2818

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al., (US Pat No. 6,033,953) (hereinafter "Aoki") in view of Lu., (US Pat No. 5,679,596).

Regarding claim 2, Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein spacing between central portions of each projection electrode has a range from 10 % to 20% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor.

However, Lu teaches wherein spacing between central portions of each projection electrode 14b has a range from 1.5 % to 75% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the size of the ferroelectric capacitor is considered the width (2,000-10,000 Å) of the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, lines 40-43), the width of the projection electrode 14b is between about 50-500 Å (col. 5, lines 17-18), and the spaces 14a between the projection electrode 14b are between about 100-1000 Å (col. 5, lines 19-21). Hence, after calculating, the spacing between central portions of each projection electrode 14b has a range from 1.5 % to 75% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor. Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770

Art Unit: 2818

time of the invention was made to provide spacing between central portions of each projection electrode in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3.

Although Lu's percentage range (1.5 % to 75%) is not the claimed range (10 % to 20%), this does not define patenable over Aoki in view of Lu since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the priort art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 3, Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein wherein a size of each projection electrode has a range from 5 % to 10% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor.

However, Lu teaches wherein a size of each projection electrode has a range from 0.5 % to 25% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the size of the ferroelectric capacitor is considered the width (2,000-10,000 Å) of the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, lines 40-43), the size of each projection electrode is considered the width of the projection electrode 14b, which is between about 50-500 Å (col. 5, lines 17-18). Hence, after calculating, a size of each projection electrode has a range from 0.5 % to 25% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor. Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a size of each projection electrode has a range from 5 % to 10% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770

Art Unit: 2818

obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3. Although Lu's percentage range (0.5 % to 25%) is not the claimed range (5 % to 10%), this does not define patenable over Aoki in view of Lu since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the priort art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 7, Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein the projection electrodes are arranged evenly spaced on the bottom electrode.

However, Lu teaches wherein the projection electrodes 14b are arranged evenly spaced on the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the evenly spaced between the projection electrodes 14b is the width of the crevice or space 14a (col. 5, lines 19-21). Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide evenly spaced between the projection electrodes in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3.

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM.

Art Unit: 2818

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers of the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quoc Hoang

Patent examiner/AU 2818

07/22/2005